# VA7000 Series Storage Virtualization and AutoRAD

RogerBuckthal



file nam e\ file bcation June 28,2001

### agenda

- productoverview
- m anagem entdem o
- background
  - VA anay objectives
  - fundam entals principles
- fim ware architecture
  - D flow through the firm ware
- RAD Levels
  - RAD 1+0
  - RAID 5DP
- data hyout
  - usable capacity com putation
- AutoRAD policies



fim ware

### Quick View Stats

#### <u>Shared</u>

- 3U Rack MountEncbsure w /15 disks
- Controller and 15 disks in single shelve
- Optimized for 1"mechanism form factor
- 18GB, 36GB and 73GB disks, 10 and 15K RPM
- Redundant and hot-plug PS, fans, controller,....
- Up to 2G Bytes (total) m incred write cache

#### VA7100 (Cassini)

- 3 to 15 disks single enclosure
- rackm ountor deskside
- 1Gb/s hostand disk FC
- 90M B/s,12K ID Ps cache,3K disk (reads)

#### VA7400 (Cronus)

- 8 to 105 disks 6 add-on shelves
- rackm ountonly
- 2G b/s host, 1G b/s disk (upgradeable w NA7405
- 160M B/s,30K ID Ps cache,9K disk (reads)





### Productoverview

firm ware















file nam e\ file bcation June 28,2001

Page 4

Custom erNDA required

### command view sdm



#### Storage m anager for the VA 7000 series



file nam e\ file bcation June 28,2001



Custom erNDA required



### caveats and acknow ledgem ents

- this is a simplified description for the first release for the VA7400 and the associated firm ware upgrade for the VA7100 - som e tuning is still in progress, thus this presentation m ay <u>notrepresent the released</u> product.
- this release is <u>not final</u>, <u>orperfect</u>. we know of m any possible in provem ents to the algorithm s.
- as you have the internal borithms of the array, it will be easy to hypothesize workbads that can defeat the goals of the algorithms.
   we are interested in specific, actionable feedback to in prove the system - please feelfree contactme after this session.
- many of our custom ers (and others) have a valid concern about the autom ation designed into this array. we acknow ledge that and are working on implemented traditional array configuration for the va series.



## virtualarray objectives

- <u>bwerm anagem entcosts</u>. storage capacities are increasing at a faster rate than skilled storage administrators.products that allow significantly fewer storage administers will have a competitive advantage.
- <u>provide superior price /perform ance</u>. scale the quantity of analys to meetany absolute perform ance (and capacity) requirem ents - a network modular analys
- provide <u>superior availability</u> and <u>software</u> features for mid-range arrays -match or bet the competition





# fundam entalprinciples



the storage efficiency, perform ance and availability characteristic vary by RAD level. a dynam is system, like a cache hierarchy, can provide perform ance characteristics sim lar to the high perform ance com ponent of the hierarch at a cost sim lar to the bw est cost com ponent of the hierarchy.

**bcality of access** - recently accessed data is most likely to be access in the future

- RAD 1+0 : bestfor0 LTP /generalfile systems
  - superior perform ance for sm all (<64K) random write work bads
  - mostredundancy overhead
- RAID 5DP : bestfor0 LAP and rich media
  - highestavailability
  - bastredundancy overhead (>5 disks)
  - superior (theoretical) sequential read and write perform ance
  - bwestrandom write performance
- EitherRAD level
  - equivalentperformance for random read workbads



Perform ance -Cost-Availability







#### Perform ance



file nam e\ file bcation June 28,2001

Custom erNDA required

### fim ware architecture bbck diagram

level400

training



file nam e\ file bcation June 28,2001



VA7000 D Fbw

#### fim ware





file nam e\ file bcation June 28,2001

Page 12

Custom erNDA required



- tolerant to som e dualfailures
  - even num berofdisks in PEG
    - leftorright (justone) <u>bgicaldisk</u> critical
  - odd num berofdisks in PEG
    - both left and right bgicaldisk critical
- disk mapping (leftor right) not visible to outside array





- N+2 rotating error correction - sim ilar to RAID 5
- P is XOR (parity)
- Q is reed-solbm on ECC coding
  - sim ilar to RAM and disk enor connection codes
  - Q = 'linearly independent' of P
- to brant to double failures two equations in two unknowns
- allin hardware as fastas XOR







Custom erNDA required

June 28,2001

1.0.0.0.0.0

# the real'data hyout

fim ware

- it's dynam ic -based on workbad and rules (policies)
  of the gam e
- VA7100 has 1 R edundancy G roup (RG), VA7400 has 2 RGs
- disks are assigned to RG by initial enclosure sbt bcation -odd/even
  - once assigned to RG, disk can be moved to new sbt, butw illnot change RG
  - more odd sbts than even sbts
- LUNS will be assign to a RG at LUN creation time

Custom erNDA required

• each RG is like a separate array



# the basic gam e

Sector - 512 bytes RB /Cache Page - 128 sectors,64K C luster - 4 RBs,256K PEX - 16 C lusters,4M B PEG - stripe of PEX's

firm ware

- LUN creation reserves space from available clusters
- 'new writes' (space not previously allocated) cause allocation of physical space (cluster)
- anay fills with new writes
- reads do not cause data m ovem ent-read in place
- re-writes always occur in place (to the same cluster / RAD level)
- policies move clusters between RAD levels ordisks

#### perform ance -availability -cost



### the policies

#### firm ware

- data placem ent the rules on where data is written
- makespace creates more free-space to allow a new write to complete - foreground conversion of clusters from R1 to R5DP
- optimize creates more free-space for future new -writes or promotions by moving inactive write data to R5 - background conversion of clusters from R1 to R5DP
- promote getclusters that are recently written random ly to R1 background conversion of data from R5DP to R1
- balance re-distributes data after new disks are added extend PEGs
- rebuild rem oves failed disk from RG shrink PEGs
- scrub tests and corrects latent un-recoverable disk errors read every sector

Sector - 512 bytes RB /Cache Page - 128 sectors,64K C luster -4 RBs,256K PEX -16 C lusters,4MB PEG - stripe of PEX 's







file nam e\ file bcation June 28,2001

fim ware

### data placem ent

- new writes '-assign free clusters to a LUN
  - RAD 1 if < 64K write
  - RAID 5DP (stripe extending write) if:
    - LUN capacity will eventually need R 5D P
    - >= 64K; Cache willgathersmaller Ds into clusters
- re-writes (a previously assigned cluster)
  - always to same cluster (re-write in place)
- write time stamp the controller 'remembers' when a write to a cluster occurs

Custom erNDA required



RB /Cache Page - 128 sectors,64K Cluster - 4 RBs,256K PEX - 16 Clusters,4MB PEG - stripe of PEX 's

Sector - 512 bytes







## m akespace

Sector - 512 bytes RB /Cache Page - 128 sectors,64K C luster - 4 RBs,256K PEX - 16 C lusters,4M B PEG - stripe of PEX 's

- makespace is called whenever there are <u>no free clusters</u> <u>available</u> for a new write ' to com plete
- makespace moves data from RAD 1+0 to RAD 5DP, thus making more free clusters available
- <u>foreground</u> mustdo prior to com pleting currenthost D
- selected first em ptiest'PEG -notoblestclusteroptim ized for selection speed
- moves enough R1 clusters to create an empty PEG
- m akespace is very disruptive to host D perform ance, because itm ustm ove a btofdata - it is to be avoided



# anay perform ance utilization calculation





- the current array perform ance utilization is used as triggers for optim ize, promotion, rebuild, balance and scrub - only under specific utilization will these policies run
- the controller collects backend workbad statistics for the lastminute of operation; reads, writes and data transferred
- utilization is computed (actual/max theoretical)
  - throughput (M B /s) utilization is the ratio of actual data transferred vs.90M B /s (perRG)
  - transaction (DPs) utilization is the ratio of actual Ds vs.com puted capability of disk subsystem based on transfer size



# optin ize -m ake free space

firm ware





100%

- objective create free PEGs, without effecting host ID
  workbad (to avoid makespace) move RAID 1 to RAID 5DP
- checks status every twom inutes
  - above high waterm ark:
    - Startbackground RAD 1 to RAD 5DP by oldest (write tin e) clusters first until high array perform ance utilization or bebw bw watermark.
  - else if be bw bw watermark
    - dem ote R1 clusters that are older than R5DP clusters (m ake room for later promotions)
  - then plugs holes (defrag) -m akes PEGs both fulland empty by consolidating partially filled PEGs

Sector - 512 bytes RB /Cache Page - 128 sectors,64K C luster - 4 RBs,256K PEX - 16 C lusters,4MB PEG - stripe of PEX 's



00003550/2260276302

10:05:450 (20)

### promote - active data to RAD 1

#### firm ware

- move new erR 5DP clusters to R1
  - only if space available
  - except data written to R 5DP as full cluster
- stop at 10% R1 lm it
- run only if a ray is in m id utilization

New forAutoRAD

- Deleting a LUN will cause promote to move reaming data to RAD 1
- Promote data only during by utilization times

Sector - 512 bytes RB/Cache Page - 128 sectors,64K Cluster - 4 RBs,256K PEX - 16 Clusters,4MB PEG - stripe of PEX's



file nam e\ file bcation June 28,2001



firm ware



RAID 1+0 RAID 5DP



file nam e\ file bcation June 28,2001

## performance statistics



#### firm ware

- 10 activity by disks (read and write)
- D activity by anay and LUN (read and write)
- new writes (R1 or R5DP)
- re-writes
- R1 and R5DP capacity (by LUN)
- R1 to R5DP movement
- R5DP to R1 data movem ent
- write working setsize



# balance – redistribute data to new disks

#### firm ware

- triggered afternew disk is inserted
- rebcates all PEGs to new larger PEG
- RAD 1+0 first, then RAD 5DP
- if space available, promotion to RAD 1+0 on first PEGs available
- willsuspend on moderate hostworkbad (50% utilization) or rebuild in progress

Balance objective:

- -distribute data across new disks
- -promote write active data to new RAD 1 space

Sector - 512 bytes RB /Cache Page - 128 sectors,64K Cluster - 4 RBs,256K PEX - 16 Clusters,4MB PEG - stripe of PEX's



file nam e\ file bcation June 28,2001

# rebuid - rem ove failed disk

#### firm ware

• rem oves disk(s) from a RG by shrinking PEG size



- moves <u>al</u>ldata to new PEGs
- RAD 1+0 space first
- willonly start if enough space to com plete
- high priority
  - startnextPEG move immediately after current completes
- bw priority
  - startnextPEG move after current com pletes if host workbad is < 25%, else</li>
  - startnextPEG move if> 6s since completion of last PEG

Sector - 512 bytes RB /Cache Page - 128 sectors,64K Cluster - 4 RBs,256K PEX - 16 Clusters,4MB PEG - stripe of PEX 's



### scrub-detectand repair latentm edia errors



- physicaldisk reads independent of alb cation
- 15 processes, independent of disk count
- read every sector, one at a time
- fread fails bg event
  - PDM willautom atically recover and spare sector if needed
- suspend on by hostactivity (>20% utilization)
- ifenabled w illrun every 5 days, com pletion dependenton hostactivity
- 15 18G B disks 2 hours



level400	
training	

NVRAM per Controller	Write Cache	Read Cache	Total
256М В	100/50	24/12	124,62
512M B	200/100	52/ <b>26</b>	252/ <b>126</b>
1GB	600/300	150 <i>/</i> <b>75</b>	750/375
2G B	1200,600	300 <b>/150</b>	1500/750

7400/7100

firm ware

- Separate read and write cache
  - Write in NVRAM, read isn't
  - Reads can be from write cache
- 64K cache page size

cache

- If resiliency timer set
  - Testevery 4s
  - Cache pages with time older than 4s is queued for write time set with each write to cache page
  - Data is kept in write cache afterwrite, butmark as available
- Sequential read ahead on 2nd m iss in cache page (to end of cache page)
- Write ahead queue many cache pages forwrite when above cache high water'mark





### AutoRAD in provem ents from Model12H

- optim ize trigger was set to run only at very bw perform ance utilization - thus it alm ost never ran and required makespace (very perform ance disruptive).
- no promote policy promotion (R 5 to R 1) occurred only on write and one-at-a-time - thus write working set lagged R 1.
- R 5 did nothave better sequential perform ance
- 20M B/s vs.800M B/s m em ory bus; 1.6K vs.30K cache DPs
- rebuild priority works

